

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,258	10/08/2003	Patrick J. Whelan	9793-004	8251	
20575 75	590 07/21/2005		EXAM	INER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400			WILSON	WILSON, LEE D	
PORTLAND, OR 97204		E 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SP
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/682,258	WHELAN, PATRICK J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEE D. WILSON	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by s	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHs ute, cause the application to become ABAN	v be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communic DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ The	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure		noived	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	scor the certilled copies not rec	ereu.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	lail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	,		

Application/Control Number: 10/682,258

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

The previous office action has been vacated

1. The previous office action has been vacanted please respond to the instant office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for

the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under secuon 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except tiat an international application filed under the treaty desired in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9-10, 13, 15-17, 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carver (1568442).

Carver discloses a pry tool having a working end and resting portion (top of fig.4 above 20 which is an elongated portion), a handle (28) with a projection (12), a receiver (22&23) which has multiple offset angles.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,258

Art Unit: 3723

The extension is portion between the tool jaw and ratchet mechanism.

In regard to the method claim, this is merely the natural use of the claimed tool.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Kuo (5931063).

Kuo discloses a pry tool having a working end and resting portion (11 &18 above 14 which is an elongated portion), a handle (20) with a projection (21), a receiver (16&15) which has multiple offset angles.

The extension is element (17).

In regard to the method claim, this is merely the natural use of the claimed tool.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suekage (5996448).

Suekage discloses a pry tool having a working end and resting portion (34&36 àboke 31 which is an elongated portion), a handle (2&(20 which is an extension)) with a projection (24), a receiver (32) which has multiple offset angles.

In regard to the method claim, this is merely the natural use of the claimed tool.

4. Claims 1-3. 7-10, 14, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spirer (5871204)

Spirer discloses a pry tool having a working end and resting podion (54&52 above 74 which is an elongated portion), a handle (24) with a projection (32) and an extension (19), a receiver (78) which has multiple offset angles.

In regard to the method claim, this is merely the natural use of the claimed tool.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,258 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 9 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spirer

7.

(5871204)

a. Spirer discloses the claimed invention except for eight pointed star pattern in a

ratchet. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the ad at the time the

invention was made to have made a ratchet with a eight pointed star pattern, since it

has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known pad

on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4/14/05 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

7. Applicant has amended the claims to read over the prior.

a. It does not appear that the applicant has define any different structure that

is not already being rejected. The prior appears to be showing the same planes.

Art Unit: 3723

It is obvious that an attempt to amend the claims was made; however, the exact direction is being taken by the applicant is not clear only because the art shows the amendments made by the applicant. Applicant is welcome to contact the examiner through email Lee. Wilson @ uspto.gov and/or by phone. Email is better because of any proposed amendments.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,258

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

June 22, 2005

LEED. WILSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER